More Classical Problems

- Part 1: Vector Clocks
- Part 2: Distributed Snapshots
- Part 3: Termination Detection
- Part 4: Leader Election

University of Massachusetts Amherst

CS 677: Distributed and OS

Total Order

 Create total order by attaching process number to an event. If time stamps match, use process # to order

1

Lec. 12







Causality

- · Lamport's logical clocks
 - If *A* -> *B* then *C*(*A*) < *C*(*B*)
 - Reverse is not true!!
 - Nothing can be said about events by comparing time-stamps!
 - If *C*(*A*) < *C*(*B*), then ??
- Need to maintain *causality*
 - If a -> b then a is casually related to b

CS 677: Distributed and OS

- Causal delivery: If send(m) -> send(n) => deliver(m) -> deliver(n)
- Capture causal relationships between groups of processes
- Need a time-stamping mechanism such that:
 - If *T*(*A*) < *T*(*B*) then *A* should have causally preceded *B*

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Vector Clocks

- Each process *i* maintains a vector V_i
 - V_i[i] : number of events that have occurred at I
 - V_i[j] : number of events I knows have occurred at process j
- · Update vector clocks as follows
 - Local event: increment V_i[I]
 - Send a message :piggyback entire vector V
 - Receipt of a message: $V_i[k] = \max(V_i[k], V_i[k])$
 - Receiver is told about how many events the sender knows occurred at another process *k*

5

- Also $V_{j}[j] = V_{j}[j] + 1$
- *Exercise:* prove that if V(A) < V(B), then A causally precedes B and the other way around.

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Lec. 12 6

Lec. 12





Part 2: Global State

- · Global state of a distributed system
 - Local state of each process
 - Messages sent but not received (state of the queues)
- · Many applications need to know the state of the system
 - · Failure recovery, distributed deadlock detection
- · Problem: how can you figure out the state of a distributed system?
 - · Each process is independent

CS 677: Distributed and OS

- No global clock or synchronization
- · Distributed snapshot: a consistent global state

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Lec. 12 9





Distributed Snapshot Algorithm

- Assume each process communicates with another process using unidirectional point-to-point channels (e.g, TCP connections)
- · Any process can initiate the algorithm
 - Checkpoint local state
 - Send marker on every outgoing channel
- · On receiving a marker
 - Checkpoint state if first marker and send marker on outgoing channels, save messages on all other channels until:

11

• Subsequent marker on a channel: stop saving state for that channel

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Distributed Snapshot

- A process finishes when
 - It receives a marker on each incoming channel and processes them all
 - · State: local state plus state of all channels
 - Send state to initiator
- Any process can initiate snapshot
 - Multiple snapshots may be in progress
 - Each is separate, and each is distinguished by tagging the marker with the initiator ID (and sequence number)

University of Massachusetts Amherst



Lec 12

Lec 12

12







15

Part 4: Election Algorithms

- Many distributed algorithms need one process to act as coordinator
 - Doesn't matter which process does the job, just need to pick one
- Election algorithms: technique to pick a unique coordinator (aka leader election)
- Examples: take over the role of a failed process, pick a master in Berkeley clock synchronization algorithm
- Types of election algorithms: Bully and Ring algorithms

Bully Algorithm

- Each process has a unique numerical ID
- Processes know the lds and address of every other process
- Communication is assumed reliable
- Key Idea: select process with highest ID
- Process initiates election if it just recovered from failure or if coordinator failed
- 3 message types: election, OK, I won
- · Several processes can initiate an election simultaneously
 - Need consistent result
- *O*(*n*²) messages required with *n* processes

University of Massachusetts Co Amherst

Compsci 677: Distributed and OS

Bully Algorithm Details

- Any process *P* can initiate an election
- *P* sends *Election* messages to all process with higher Ids and awaits *OK* messages
- If no *OK* messages, *P* becomes coordinator and sends *I* won messages to all process with lower Ids

17

- If it receives an OK, it drops out and waits for an I won
- If a process receives an *Election* msg, it returns an *OK* and starts an election
- If a process receives a *I won*, it treats sender an coordinator

Lec 13







Ring-based Election Processes have unique Ids and arranged in a logical ring Each process knows its neighbors - Select process with highest ID Begin election if just recovered or coordinator has failed ٠ Send *Election* to closest downstream node that is alive ٠ Sequentially poll each successor until a live node is found Each process tags its ID on the message ٠ Initiator picks node with highest ID and sends a coordinator message Multiple elections can be in progress - Wastes network bandwidth but does no harm University of Compsci 677: Distributed and OS Lec. 13 21 Massachúsetts Amherst



Comparison

- Assume *n* processes and one election in progress
- Bully algorithm
 - Worst case: initiator is node with lowest ID
 - Triggers n-2 elections at higher ranked nodes: $O(n^2)$ msgs
 - Best case: immediate election: n-2 messages
- Ring
 - 2 (n-1) messages always

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Compsci 677: Distributed and OS

Lec. 13 23