Hedge Your Bets: Optimizing Long-term Cloud Costs by Mixing VM Purchasing Options

Pradeep Ambati, Noman Bashir, David Irwin, Prashant Shenoy

University of Massachusetts Amherst



Cloud Platforms are Complex

- Cloud platforms are tremendously complex
 - Need to manage physical and virtualized resources in data centers
 - Need to grow or shrink the resources allocated on the fly (scalability)
 - Need to offer multitude of compute services required for supporting applications

- Cloud platforms offer dozens of VMs (compute resources)
 - With different CPU, memory, network, and disk configurations etc.
 - Each VM costs different price

Different Cloud Purchasing Options

- Cloud platforms offers VMs under different purchasing options
 - Time commitment
 - Price
 - Availability guarantees

- Common purchasing options among the popular cloud platforms (AWS EC2, GCP, and Azure)
 - On-demand VM type
 - Reserved VM type
 - Transient VM type

Different Cloud Purchasing Options

- On-demand VM type
 - Most popular VM type (usually the default option)
 - Billed per unit time until terminated
 - No time commitment required

Reserved VM type

- Requires long time commitment (1-year or 3-year)
- Billed for entire duration of time committed
- Provides steep discount compared to on-demand

Different Cloud Purchasing Options

- Transient VM type
 - Cloud platforms can revoke them at any time
 - No time commitment required
 - Usually offered at discount (20% 90%) compared to on-demand



Differences among Cloud Providers

Google cloud platform unique offerings

- Sustained use discount
- Customized VMs

• AWS EC2 unique offerings

- Spot block VMs
- Scheduled reserved VMs



Google Cloud Platform



Challenge - Optimizing Long-Term Cloud Costs

- Optimizing for long-term cloud costs requires
 - Provisioning right set of VMs
 - Selecting right mix of purchasing options (i.e., time commitment of VMs)

- Provisioning right set of VMs requires knowledge of workload characteristics (resource usage etc)
 - Subject of much research in the past e.g., Cherry-pick NSDI'17

Problem Statement

- Selecting right mix of purchasing options requires future workload expectations
 - *Requires balancing two key trade-offs*
 - Extracting the greatest savings through longest possible commitments for the VMs
 - Retaining the flexibility to respond to changing workloads

To address the above problem, we design policies to optimize longterm cloud costs by selecting a mix of VM purchasing options based on short- and long-term expectations of workload utilization.

Contents

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Background
- Design
- Implementation
- Evaluation

Long Term Cost Optimization Policy

• Goal: Select the VMs (and purchasing options) to minimize the long-term cost to execute the workload

- **Assumptions**: Workload consists of batch submissions
 - Batch requests include requested cores and memory size

- Design two policies
 - Optimal offline policy
 - Practical online policy

Optimal Offline Policy - Overview

- Ideal policy that results in absolute minimum value (**OPT**)
 - Cannot optimize/minimize the cost beyond this policy

- Makes unrealistic assumptions
 - Perfect knowledge of future workloads
 - Each job can be subdivided into smaller jobs i.e., fractional jobs

Can be used as a benchmark to compare realistic policies

Optimal Offline Policy - Basic Approach

- Transform the workload as a time-varying function of resource demand
 - Model workload trace in terms of aggregate resource demand per unit time



Optimal Offline Policy - Basic Approach

- Transform the workload as a time-varying function of resource demand
 - Model workload trace in terms of aggregate resource demand per unit time

- For each unit of resource pick the cheapest purchasing option
 - Compute the normalized cost under each purchasing option*
 - Select the purchasing option that results in cheapest normalized cost

Repeat until the demand across all time slots is satisfied

Normalized Cost - On-demand VMs

- Normalized cost of a VM depends on
 - VM Time commitment
 - VM Revocations
 - Job length (in case of transient VMs)

- On-demand VMs (sustained-use): Computing normalized cost is straightforward
 - Simply assign the on-demand cost to each unit of resource demand -

Normalized Cost - Transient VM

- Normalized cost of transient VMs is a function of
 - relative cost per unit time and job length
 - Revocation rate (and fault tolerance)
- Ensure a job assigned to a transient VM completes
 - If a transient VM is revoked, restart the job on on-demand VM
- Derive normalized cost of transient VM using

$$E[C(T)] = (1 - R(T))(p_{\text{trans}})$$
$$R(T)(p_{\text{transient}} \times E_{\text{revoke}}[T] + p_{\text{trans}})$$

$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{ondemand}}^{\text{ansient}} \times T) + C$

Normalized Cost - Spot Block

- Spot blocks are available for maximum of 6-hour duration
 - Purchased in 1-, 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-hour duration blocks
 - 1-hour block costs 55% of on-demand price
 - 6-hour block costs 70% on-demand price

- In the offline case, if a job can be run on spot block
 - Simply assign the spot block cost to job cost

Spot block slightly more expensive than the transient option

Normalized Cost - Reserved VMs

- For reserved VMs, normalize cost by its utilization over the time of the commitment
 - In offline case, we assume reserved VMs as fractional resource



Normalized Cost - Scheduled Reserved VMs

- Similar to normalized cost of a reserved VM, but...
 - ... must consider every possible daily, weekly, and monthly schedule
 - Select multiple schedules that maximize discount

- Problem of finding schedule reduces to weighted-job scheduling
 - In our approach, each possible schedule is akin to a job in weighted-job scheduling
 - The normalized discount of each schedule is akin to its value
 - The output is the cheapest set of schedules

Selecting Purchasing Option

- Compute normalized costs for different purchasing options...
 - ...for each unit of demand at each unit of time
- Determine the cheapest option from
 - Transient, spot block, on-demand, and scheduled reserved
- Compare the cheapest non-reserved to 1-year reserved option
 - Select the best option out of them
- Next, compare best option from above and 3-year reserved
 - In this way, our approach yields lowest cost purchasing option for each unit

Practical Online Approach

- Online approach is essentially same as offline policy, but ...
 - ... utilizes predictions of demand in the place of perfect knowledge
 - ... does not assume a fractional supply and demand
 - ... map the resources (cores and memory) to specific type of VMs

- Our online approach is a heuristic
 - even given perfect future knowledge of the workload, the problem is NP-hard

Our approach is biased towards large VMs (reserved)

- since they are can run larger jobs (and pack multiple jobs)

Practical Online Approach

- Simply take prior jobs data and apply our offline approach
 - Assume prior jobs data will repeat to estimate the future workload
 - Estimate the reserved capacity to purchase

- After purchasing reserved capacity, as jobs arrive...
 - ... Schedule them on available reserved VMs based on resource requirements
 - If reserved VMs are not available, dynamically acquire additional non-reserved resources to execute the job

Implementation

Implemented our policies using python

- Dataset consists of a 4-year trace of job submissions from a 14k batch cluster
 - Trace contains ~60 million jobs over the 4-year period (2015-2018)
 - Each job entry includes its submission time, requested cores and memory, and duration

Evaluation

- Evaluate the cost benefits of using a mix of purchasing options
 - Compare online policy to offline policy
 - Compare both offline and online policy to single purchasing option -
 - Compare the cost benefits for the set of unique purchasing options offered by each cloud provider

- For online approach evaluation
 - use the first year of jobs for training,
 - evaluate on the next 3 years (2016-2018) of jobs

Batch Trace Characteristics



Batch Trace Characteristics



Our batch trace consists of significant number of short jobs (< 6 hours)

Evaluation - Optimistic Offline Policy



Our offline policy minimizes cost (relative to on-demand) significantly

Evaluation - Optimistic Offline Policy



53.65 47.81 29.00 23.18 Google Amazon Customized **On-demand cost dominates the total cost due to the** frequent load bursts in the workload

Evaluation - Practical Online Approach



Microsoft Google Customized $\times\!\!\!\times\!\!\!\times\!\!\!\times$ Amazon

2018

Conclusion

- Presented policies to optimize long term cloud costs by selecting a mix of VM purchasing options
 - Accounts for uncertainty in future workload demand
 - Proposed both offline optimal (OPT) and practical online policy

- Evaluated our policies on a batch job trace spanning 4 years
 - Online policy incurs a cost within 41% of an optimistic offline optimal approach
 - Online policy costs 50% less than solely using on-demand VMs, and 79% less than using reserved VMs.