Security: Focus of Control

- Three approaches for protection against security threats
  a) Protection against invalid operations
  b) Protection against unauthorized invocations
  c) Protection against unauthorized users

Authentication

- **Question**: how does a receiver know that remote communicating entity is who it is claimed to be?
Authentication Protocol (ap)

- Ap 1.0
  - Alice to Bob: “I am Alice”
  - Problem: intruder “Trudy” can also send such a message
- Ap 2.0
  - Authenticate source IP address is from Alice’s machine
  - Problem: IP Spoofing (send IP packets with a false address)
- Ap 3.0: use a secret password
  - Alice to Bob: “I am Alice, here is my password” (e.g., telnet)
  - Problem: Trudy can intercept Alice’s password by sniffing packets

Authentication Protocol

Ap 3.1: use encryption

use a symmetric key known to Alice and Bob

- Alice & Bob (only) know secure key for encryption/decryption

A to B: msg = encrypt("I am A")
B computes: if decrypt(msg)="I am A"
  then A is verified
  else A is fraudulent

- failure scenarios: playback attack
  - Trudy can intercept Alice’s message and masquerade as Alice at a later time
Authentication Using Nonces

Problem with ap 3.1: same password is used for all sessions

Solution: use a sequence of passwords
    pick a "once-in-a-lifetime-only" number (nonce) for each session

Ap 4.0
    A to B: msg = "I am A" /* note: unencrypted message! */
    B to A: once-in-a-lifetime value, n
    A to B: msg2 = encrypt(n) /* use symmetric keys */
    B computes: if decrypt(msg2)==n
        then A is verified
        else A is fraudulent

- note similarities to three way handshake and initial sequence number choice
- problems with nonces?

Authentication Using Public Keys

Ap 4.0 uses symmetric keys for authentication
Question: can we use public keys?

symmetry: DA( EA(n) ) = EA( DA(n) )

AP 5.0
    A to B: msg = "I am A"
    B to A: once-in-a-lifetime value, n
    A to B: msg2 = DA(n)
    B computes: if EA(DA(n))== n
        then A is verified
        else A is fraudulent
Problems with Ap 5.0

• Bob needs Alice’s public key for authentication
  – Trudy can impersonate as Alice to Bob
    • Trudy to Bob: msg = “I am Alice”
    • Bob to Alice: nonce n (Trudy intercepts this message)
    • Trudy to Bob: msg2 = DT(n)
    • Bob to Alice: send me your public key (Trudy intercepts)
    • Trudy to Bob: send ET (claiming it is EA)
    • Bob: verify ET(DT(n)) == n and authenticates Trudy as Alice!!

• Moral: Ap 5.0 is only as “secure” as public key distribution

Man-in-the-middle Attack

• Trudy impersonates as Alice to Bob and as Bob to Alice
  – Alice    Trudy    Bob
  – “I am A” “I am A”
  – nonce n
  – DT(n)
  – send me ET
  – ET
  – nonce n
  – DA(n)
  – send me EA
  – EA
  – Bob sends data using ET, Trudy decrypts and forwards it using EA!! (Trudy transparently intercepts every message)
Digital Signatures Using Public Keys

**Goals of digital signatures:**
- sender cannot repudiate message never sent ("I never sent that")
- receiver cannot fake a received message

Suppose A wants B to "sign" a message M

B sends DB(M) to A
A computes if EB(DB(M)) == M
then B has signed M

**Question:** can B plausibly deny having sent M?

Message Digests

- Encrypting and decrypting entire messages using digital signatures is computationally expensive
  - Routers routinely exchange data
    - Does not need encryption
    - Needs authentication and verify that data hasn’t changed
- Message digests: like a checksum
  - Hash function H: converts variable length string to fixed length hash
  - Digitally sign H(M)
  - Send M, DA(H(m))
  - Can verify who sent the message and that it has been changed!
- Property of H
  - Given a digest x, it is infeasible to find a message y such that H(y) = x
  - It is infeasible to find any two messages x and y such that H(x) = H(y)
Hash Functions : MD5

• The structure of MD5

128-bit constant

Padded message (multiple of 512 bits)

Digest 512 bits

Digest

Message digest

160-bit function that resembles MD5

SHA-2: family of two hash functions (SHA-256 and SHA-512)

Developed by NIST and NSA

Hash Functions

• MD5 not secure any more

• SHA hash functions  (SHA = Secure Hash Algorithm)
  – SHA-1: 160-bit function that resembles MD5
  – SHA-2: family of two hash functions (SHA-256 and SHA-512)
  – Developed by NIST and NSA
Symmetric key exchange: trusted server

**Problem:** how do distributed entities agree on a key?

**Assume:** each entity has its own single key, which only it and trusted server know

**Server:**
- will generate a one-time session key that A and B use to encrypt communication
- will use A and B's single keys to communicate session key to A, B

---

**Key Exchange: Key Distribution Center (1)**

- The principle of using a KDC.

![Diagram of key exchange](attachment:diagram.png)
Authentication Using a Key Distribution Center
(2)

- Using a ticket and letting Alice set up a connection to Bob.

Authentication Using a Key Distribution Center
(3)

- The Needham-Schroeder authentication protocol.
Public Key Exchange

- Mutual authentication in a public-key cryptosystem.

Public key exchange: trusted server

- Public key retrieval subject to man-in-middle attack
- Locate all public keys in trusted server
- Everyone has server's encryption key (ES public)
- Suppose A wants to send to B using B's "public" key
Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- How to choose a key without encryption
- Agree on n, g – large integers
- Alice choose secret x, Bob chooses secret y

Access Control

- Access control lists
- Capabilities
- Protection domains
Security in Enterprises

- Multi-layered approach to security in modern enterprises
  - Security functionality spread across multiple entities
- Firewalls (policies + ports)
- Deep Packet inspection
- Virus and email scanners
- VLANs
- Network radius servers
- Securing WiFi
- VPNs
- Securing services using SSL, cerificates, kerberos

Security in Internet Services

- Websites
  - SSL + authentication + captchas
- Challenge-response authentication
  - paypal
- Two factor authentication
  - Gmail: password + mobile phone
- One-time passwords
  - Hotmail one-time password
- Online merchant payments: paypal, amazon payments, google checkouts
Protection Against Intruders: Firewalls

- A common implementation of a firewall.

**Firewalls**

**Firewall**: network components (host/router+software) sitting between inside ("us") and outside ("them")

**Packet filtering firewalls**: drop packets on basis of source or destination address (i.e., IP address, port)

**Application gateways**: application specific code intercepts, processes and/or relays application specific packets
  - e.g., email of telnet gateways
  - application gateway code can be security hardened
  - can log all activity
Secure Email

• Requirements:
  – Secrecy
  – Sender authentication
  – Message integrity
  – Receiver authentication

• Secrecy
  – Can use public keys to encrypt messages
    • Inefficient for long messages
  – Use symmetric keys
    • Alice generates a symmetric key $K$
    • Encrypt message $M$ with $K$
    • Encrypt $K$ with $E_B$
    • Send $K(M), E_B(K)$
    • Bob decrypts using his private key, gets $K$, decrypts $K(M)$

• Authentication and Integrity (with no secrecy)
  – Alice applies hash function $H$ to $M$ ($H$ can be MD5)
  – Creates a digital signature $D_A(H(M))$
  – Send $M, D_A(H(M))$ to Bob

• Putting it all together
  – Compute $H(M), D_A(H(M))$
  – $M' = \{ M, D_A(H(M)) \}$
  – Generate symmetric key $K$, compute $K(M')$
  – Encrypt $K$ as $E_B(K)$
  – Send $K(M'), E_B(K)$

• Used in PGP (pretty good privacy)
Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)

- SSL: Developed by Netscape
  - Provides data encryption and authentication between web server and client
  - SSL lies above the transport layer
  - Useful for Internet Commerce, secure mail access (IMAP)
- Features:
  - SSL server authentication
  - Encrypted SSL session
  - SSL client authentication

Secure Socket Layer

- Protocol: https instead of http
  - Browser -> Server: B’s SSL version and preferences
  - S->B: S’s SSL version, preferences, and certificate
    - Certificate: server’s RSA public key encrypted by CA’s private key
  - B: uses its list of CAs and public keys to decrypt S’s public key
  - B->S: generate K, encrypt K with with E_S
  - B->S: “future messages will be encrypted”, and K(m)
  - S->B: “future messages will be encrypted”, and K(m)
  - SSL session begins…
Example: Kerberos (1)

- Assist clients in setting up secure channel with a server
- Auth Server (AS) provides login service
- Ticket granting service (TGS) sets up secure channel
  - Tickets are used to convince the server of the authenticity of the client
  - Single signon: no need to auth to other servers separately

Electronic Payment Systems (1)

- Payment systems based on direct payment between customer and merchant.
  a) Paying in cash.
  b) Using a check.
  c) Using a credit card.
E-cash

- The principle of anonymous electronic cash using blind signatures.

Secure Electronic Transactions (SET)

- The different steps in SET.
Security: conclusion

key concerns:
- encryption
- authentication
- key exchange

also:
- increasingly an important area as network connectivity increases
- digital signatures, digital cash, authentication, increasingly important
- an important social concern

further reading:
- Crypto Policy Perspectives: S. Landau et al., Aug 1994 CACM
- www.eff.org