6.1 Threads

A thread is a sequential execution stream within a process. This means that a single process may be broken up into multiple threads. Each thread has its own Program Counter, registers, and stack, but they all share the same address space within the process. The primary benefit of such an approach is that a process can be split up into many threads of control, allowing for concurrency since some parts of the process to make progress while others are busy. Sharing an address space within a process allows for simpler coordination than message passing or shared memory. Threads can also be used to modularize a process – a process like a web browser may create one thread for each browsing tab, or create threads to run external plugins.

6.1.1 Processes vs threads

One might argue that in general processes are more flexible than threads. For example, processes are controlled independently by the OS, meaning that if one crashes it will not affect other processes. However, processes require explicit communication using either message passing or shared memory which may add overhead since it requires support from the OS kernel. Using threads within a process allows them all to share the same address space, simplifying communication between threads. However, threads have their own problems: because they communicate through shared memory they must run on same machine and care must be taken to create thread-safe code that functions correctly despite multiple threads acting on the same set of shared data. Additionally, since all threads are within a single process, if one thread has a bug it can corrupt the address space of all other threads in the process.

When comparing processes and threads, we can also analyze the context switch cost. Whenever it is needed to switch between two processes, we must invalidate the TLB cache which can be a slow operation. When we switch between two threads, on the other hand, it is not needed to invalidate the TLB because all threads share the same address space, and thus have the same contents in the cache. Thus the cost of switching between threads is much smaller than the cost of switching between processes.

6.1.2 Kernel Threads and User-Level Threads

Threads can either be created as kernel threads or user-level threads depending on the operating system. In systems that use kernel-level threads, the OS itself is aware of each individual thread. A context switch between kernel threads belonging to the same process requires only the registers, program counter, and stack to be changed; the overall memory management information does not need to be switched since both of the threads share the same address space. Thus context switching between two kernel threads is slightly faster than switching between two processes. However, kernel threads can still be somewhat expensive because system calls are required to switch between threads. Also, since the OS is responsible for scheduling the threads, the application does not have any control over how its threads are managed. The OS also give more time slices to a process with more threads.
A user-level thread is a thread within a process which the OS does not know about. In a user-level thread approach the cost of a context switch between threads can be made even lower since the OS itself does not need to be involved—no extra system calls are required. A user-level thread is represented by a program counter, registers, stack, and small thread control block (TCB). Programmers typically use a thread library to simplify management of threads within a process. Creating a new thread, switching between threads, and synchronizing threads are done via function calls into the library. This provides an interface for creating and stopping threads, as well as control over how they are scheduled.

When using user-level threads, the OS only schedules processes, which in turn are responsible for scheduling their individual threads. Unfortunately, since the threads are invisible to the OS, the OS can make poor decisions such as scheduling a process with idle threads or giving unbalanced CPU shares between processes that have different numbers of threads. Perhaps the greatest disadvantage of user-level threads is that if a single thread performs an I/O request, the OS scheduler may cause the entire process, and all its other user-level threads, wait until the I/O finishes before returning the process to the run queue. This can prevent applications with user-level threads from achieving high degrees of concurrency if threads are performing I/O. Solving these problems requires communication between the kernel and the user-level thread manager. Another limitation of user level threads is that they cannot be used to spread tasks across multiple cores in modern CPUs. This is because a process is only scheduled to run on a single CPU core at a time.

Advantages: No OS support needed for threads (MS-DOS could have a user-level thread library. More efficient due to library calls vs. system calls for kernel threads. Flexible thread scheduling that is defined in user-level code.

Disadvantages: OS doesn’t know about user-level threads (OS may make poor scheduling decisions). Only process level parallelism. If any thread does I/O then the whole process will block and all of the threads will stop.

6.1.3 Threading Models

Some operating systems such as Solaris support the concept of a Lightweight Process. This is a hybrid approach where the OS is able to take a thread and map it to one or more lightweight processes. Each lightweight process can be associated with multiple threads, and each thread can be associated with multiple processes. This means that if one thread needs to block for I/O, the lightweight process it is associated with will block, but the other threads hooked to that process may be able to continue running within a different lightweight process.

Approaches such as this allow for a flexible mapping between threads and processes. When using user-level threads, the system is using a many-to-one model since many threads are allocated to each process. Kernel level threads use a one-to-one model since each thread is given a process. The Solaris approach of Lightweight Processes is a two-level threading model where threads can be mapped to either its own process or to several processes.

6.1.4 Threading Libraries

Thread libraries provide programmers with an API for creating and managing threads. The thread library can be implemented either completely in user space, or it can be supported by the OS kernel itself.
6.1.4.1 Pthreads

Pthreads is a threading API following the POSIX standard. Pthreads is a library for C programs and is supported by a variety of operating systems. When a programmer designs an application to use the Pthreads API, then it can generally be ported between different systems without requiring the source code to be modified.

6.1.4.2 Java Threads

The Java programming language also provides support for threads directly (as opposed to through an additional library such as Pthreads). The Java Virtual Machine uses different types of threads depending on the OS in which it is run. If the host OS does not support kernel threads, then the JVM will use a built-in user level threads package, otherwise it will use kernel-level threads. In either case, the code used for the Java program is the same; the JVM transparently maps the programmers threads into either kernel or user-level threads. Since most modern operating systems now support kernel-level threads, the JVM will typically use kernel threads.