Last Class: Naming

- Name distribution: use hierarchies
- DNS
- X.500 and LDAP

Canonical Problems in Distributed Systems

- Time ordering and clock synchronization
- Leader election
- Mutual exclusion
- Distributed transactions
- Deadlock detection
Clock Synchronization

- Time in unambiguous in centralized systems
  - System clock keeps time, all entities use this for time
- Distributed systems: each node has own system clock
  - Crystal-based clocks are less accurate (1 part in million)
  - Problem: An event that occurred after another may be assigned an earlier time

![Diagram showing time synchronization]

Physical Clocks: A Primer

- Accurate clocks are atomic oscillators (one part in $10^{13}$)
- Most clocks are less accurate (e.g., mechanical watches)
  - Computers use crystal-based blocks (one part in million)
  - Results in clock drift
- How do you tell time?
  - Use astronomical metrics (solar day)
- Coordinated universal time ($UTC$) – international standard based on atomic time
  - Add leap seconds to be consistent with astronomical time
  - UTC broadcast on radio (satellite and earth)
  - Receivers accurate to 0.1 – 10 ms
- Need to synchronize machines with a master or with one another
Clock Synchronization

• Each clock has a maximum drift rate $r$
  • $1 - r \leq \frac{dc}{dt} \leq 1 + r$
    - Two clocks may drift by $2r$ in time $t$
    - To limit drift to $2r$ => resynchronize every $2r$ seconds

Cristian’s Algorithm

• Synchronize machines to a time server with a UTC receiver
• Machine $P$ requests time from server every $2r$ seconds
  - Receives time $t$ from server, $P$ sets clock to $t + t_{reply}$ where $t_{reply}$ is the time to send reply to $P$
  - Use $(t_{req} + t_{reply})/2$ as an estimate of $t_{reply}$
  - Improve accuracy by making a series of measurements
Berkeley Algorithm

- Used in systems without UTC receiver
  - Keep clocks synchronized with one another
  - One computer is master, other are slaves
  - Master periodically polls slaves for their times
    - Average times and return differences to slaves
    - Communication delays compensated as in Cristian’s algo
  - Failure of master => election of a new master

Berkeley Algorithm

a) The time daemon asks all the other machines for their clock values
b) The machines answer
c) The time daemon tells everyone how to adjust their clock
Distributed Approaches

• Both approaches studied thus far are centralized
• Decentralized algorithms: use resync intervals
  – Broadcast time at the start of the interval
  – Collect all other broadcast that arrive in a period $S$
  – Use average value of all reported times
  – Can throw away few highest and lowest values
• Approaches in use today
  – `rdate`: synchronizes a machine with a specified machine
  – Network Time Protocol (NTP)
    • Uses advanced techniques for accuracies of 1-50 ms

Logical Clocks

• For many problems, internal consistency of clocks is important
  – Absolute time is less important
  – Use logical clocks
• Key idea:
  – Clock synchronization need not be absolute
  – If two machines do not interact, no need to synchronize them
  – More importantly, processes need to agree on the order in which events occur rather than the time at which they occurred
Event Ordering

- **Problem:** define a total ordering of all events that occur in a system
- Events in a single processor machine are totally ordered
- In a distributed system:
  - No global clock, local clocks may be unsynchronized
  - Can not order events on different machines using local times
- **Key idea [Lamport]**
  - Processes exchange messages
  - Message must be sent before received
  - Send/receive used to order events (and synchronize clocks)

Happened Before Relation

- If $A$ and $B$ are events in the same process and $A$ executed before $B$, then $A \rightarrow B$

- If A represents sending of a message and B is the receipt of this message, then A $\rightarrow$ B
- Relation is transitive:
  - A $\rightarrow$ B and B $\rightarrow$ C $\Rightarrow$ A $\rightarrow$ C
- Relation is undefined across processes that do not exchange messages
  - Partial ordering on events
Event Ordering Using HB

• Goal: define the notion of time of an event such that
  – If A -> B then C(A) < C(B)
  – If A and B are concurrent, then C(A) <, = or > C(B)

• Solution:
  – Each processor maintains a logical clock LC_i
  – Whenever an event occurs locally at I, LC_i = LC_i+1
  – When i sends message to j, piggyback LC_i
  – When j receives message from i
    • If LC_j < LC_i then LC_j = LC_i +1 else do nothing
  – Claim: this algorithm meets the above goals

Lamport’s Logical Clocks

![Graphs showing logical clocks progression](image_url)
Example: Totally-Ordered Multicasting

- Updating a replicated database and leaving it in an inconsistent state.